US ERA ARCHIVE DOCUMENT



# DfE Logo Pilot for Disinfectants

April 2, 2009



### **Discussion Points**

- □ Background
- □ Previous Products
- □ Issues That Arose
- □ Draft Facts to Consider
- □ Next Steps



# Background

- □ Currently, products that make cleaning claims are permitted to apply for the Design for the Environment "logo" once they've completed their review.
- ☐ Most of the antimicrobial hard, non-porous surface disinfectants labeling contains uses as pesticides and as cleaners.



## Background (cont'd)

□ OPP and OPPTS DfE agreed to work together to determine the feasibility of allowing products that have passed the DfE review to submit a label amendment to OPP in order place the logo on pesticide products.



#### **Previous Products**

- □ DfE sent OPP 3 previous products for review that passed their review.
- □ OPP sent 8 products to DfE for review.
  - The products forwarded by OPP ranged from acute tox classification I-IV.
  - The products forwarded by OPP contained different active ingredients.
  - The products forwarded by OPP involved different use sites.



## **Issues That Arose**

- □ OPP staff were required to complete TSCA CBI training before DfE could provide records.
- □ DfE staff were required to complete FIFRA CBI training before OPP could provide records.
- □ OPP expanded participation to include RD, BPPD, FEAD, and HED.

Draft 4/2/09



## Issues that Arose (cont'd)

- □ Question as to whether or not EFED also needs to be involved.
- ☐ There was a need to bring new workgroup members "up to speed".
- □ Of the 3 previous products reviewed by DfE, OPP had classified 1 as acute tox I.



# **Draft** - Facts to Consider

- □ No carcinogens
- □ No acute tox I or II products
- $\square$  No unresolved 6(a)(2) issues
- □ No unresolved efficacy failures
- □ No current enforcement action
- □ Only OPP approved statements could be made regarding the logo.



# **Draft** - Facts to Consider (cont'd)

- No products with unapproved inerts
- No outstanding "conditional registration" data issues
- No PPE required to use the product
- □ No developmental tox issues
- □ The complete product formulation would be reviewed – individual components and final formulation

Draft 4/2/09



# **Next Steps**

- □ Next meeting is scheduled for April 15, 2009.
- □ AD, HED, and RD will develop a sideby-side analysis of the DfE and OPP review process.
- DfE science staff will provide a presentation to OPP science staff.
- □ Finalize the "Factors to Consider".



# Questions?